# IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	X
In re	: Chapter 11
DELPHI CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,	: Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
	: x
<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>	OF SERVICE
	according to law, depose and say that I am its, LLC, the Court appointed claims and aptioned cases.
On February 7, 2007, I caused to be parties listed on Exhibit A hereto via overnig	served the document listed below upon the ht delivery:
•	Motion to Reconsider Under Fed. R. Bankr. Motion to Reconsider") (Docket No. 6877) Exhibit B]
Dated: February 12, 2007	/s/ Evan Gershbein Evan Gershbein
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before Evan Gershbein, personally known to me or pevidence to be the person who appeared before	proved to me on the basis of satisfactory
Signature: /s/ Shannon J. Spencer	
Commission Expires: 6/20/10	

### **EXHIBIT A**

### 05-44481-rdd Doc 6928 Filed 02/12/07 Entered 02/12/07 13:49:01 Main Document

Pg 3 of 25
Delphi Corporation
Objection/Response Service List

COMPANY	CONTACT	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STAT	E ZIP	PHONE	FAX	EMAIL	PARTY / FUNCTION
	Donald Bernstein						212-450-4092	212-450-3092	donald.bernstein@dpw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Postpetition
Davis, Polk & Wardwell	Brian Resnick	450 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-450-4213	212-450-3213	brian.resnick@dpw.com	Administrative Agent
									sean.p.corcoran@delphi.com	
Delphi Corporation	Sean Corcoran, Karen Craft	5725 Delphi Drive		Troy	MI	48098	248-813-2000	248-813-2491	karen.j.craft@delphi.com	Debtors
	Brad Eric Sheler Bonnie Steingart Vivek Melwani									
Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver &	Jennifer L Rodburg								rodbuje@ffhsj.com	Counsel to Equity Security
Jacobson	Richard J Slivinski	One New York Plaza		New York	NY	10004	212-859-8000	212-859-4000	sliviri@ffhsj.com	Holders Committee
									thomas.f.maher@chase.com	
	Thomas F. Maher, Richard Duker,								richard.duker@jpmorgan.com	
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Gianni Russello	270 Park Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-270-0426	212-270-0430	gianni.russello@jpmorgan.com	Postpetition Administrative Agent
Latham & Watkins LLP	Robert J. Rosenberg	885 Third Avenue		New York	NY	10022	212-906-1370	212-751-4864	robert.rosenberg@lw.com	Counsel to Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
	Kenneth S. Ziman, Robert H.								kziman@stblaw.com rtrust@stblaw.com	Counsel to Debtor's Prepetition Administrative Agent, JPMorgan
Simpson Thatcher & Bartlett LLP		425 Lexington Avenue		New York	NY	10017	212-455-2000	212-455-2502	wrussell@stblaw.com	Chase Bank, N.A.
									jbutler@skadden.com	
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher	John Wm. Butler, John K. Lyons,								jlyonsch@skadden.com	
& Flom LLP	Ron E. Meisler	333 W. Wacker Dr.	Suite 2100	Chicago	IL	60606	312-407-0700	312-407-0411	rmeisler@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher	Kayalyn A. Marafioti, Thomas J.								kmarafio@skadden.com	
& Flom LLP	Matz	4 Times Square	P.O. Box 300	New York	NY	10036	212-735-3000	212-735-2000	tmatz@skadden.com	Counsel to the Debtor
								212-668-2255 does not take		
United States Trustee	Alicia M. Leonhard	33 Whitehall Street	21st Floor	New York	NY	10004-2112	212-510-0500	service via fax		Counsel to United States Trustee

In re. Delphi Corporation, et al. Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) 05-44481-rdd Doc 6928 Filed 02/12/07 Entered 02/12/07 13:49:01 Main Document Pg 4 of 25 Delphi Corporation Special Parties

NAME	NOTICE NAME	ADDRESS1	ADDRESS2	CITY	STATE	ZIP
Carl Allison	Megan E Clark	Freking & Betz	225 Vine Street Ste 600	Cincinnati	OH	45202
Carl Allison	Megan E Clark	Freking & Betz	525 Sixth Street 6th Floor	Cincinnati	ОН	45202

### **EXHIBIT B**

#### Hearing Date and Time: February 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m.

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 407-0700 John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711) John K. Lyons (IL 4951) Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026)

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Four Times Square New York, New York 10036 (212) 735-3000

Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632) Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al., Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

Delphi Legal Information Hotline:

Toll Free: (800) 718-5305 International: (248) 813-2698

Delphi Legal Information Website: http://www.delphidocket.com

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----x

In re : Chapter 11

DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

Debtor. : (Jointly Administered)

-----X

DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO CARL ALLISON'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER UNDER FED. R. BANKR. P. 3008

("OBJECTION TO CARL ALLISON'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER")

Delphi Corporation ("Delphi") and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), hereby object (the "Objection"), pursuant to Rule 60 of the Federal Rules Of Civil Procedure (the "Federal Rules"), made applicable by Rule 9024 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedures (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), and Bankruptcy Rule 3008, to the Motion To Reconsider Under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3008 (Docket No. 6678) (the "Motion") filed by Carl Allison ("Mr. Allison") with respect to this Court's Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 (I) Disallowing And Expunging Certain (A) Claims With Insufficient Documentation And (B) Claims Unsubstantiated By Debtors' Books And Records, (II) Modifying Certain Claims, And (III) Adjourning Hearing On Certain Contingent And Unliquidated Claims Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. Section 502(c) Identified In Third Omnibus Claims Objection (Docket No. 6224) entered December 19, 2006 (the "Order"), disallowing and expunging Mr. Allison's Proof of Claim No. 2205 (the "Claim"). In support of this Objection, the Debtors submit the Declaration Of Noticing Agent Regarding Service Of Third Omnibus Claims Objection On Carl Allison, executed by Evan Gershbein, Consultant, of Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC ("KCC"), the noticing agent in these chapter 11 cases, and sworn to February 7, 2007 (the "Gershbein Declaration"), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. In further support of this Objection, the Debtors respectfully represent as follows:

#### **Preliminary Statement**

1. By the Motion, Mr. Allison requests that this Court reconsider the Order with respect to the disallowance and expungement of his Claim. Mr. Allison concedes that his

counsel received notice of the Third Omnibus Claims Objection (as defined herein)<sup>1</sup> on November 9, 2006, 21 days before the November 30, 2006 hearing on the objection. Yet, he did not file a response, contact counsel before the hearing for an extension, or do anything to contest the relief sought by the Debtors.

- 2. Now, approximately 70 days after he admits his counsel was served with the objection, Mr. Allison asserts that he should not be bound by the order disallowing and expunging his claim because service "may" have been untimely.
- 3. In his Motion, Mr. Allison fails to cite any legal authority to support his request to reconsider the Order. Instead, Mr. Allison incorrectly asserts that the Debtors' notice of the Third Omnibus Claims Objection raised an insufficient objection to the Claim. This is beside the point. If Mr. Allison believed the objection was insufficient or otherwise without merit, Mr. Allison should have filed a timely response to the Third Omnibus Claims Objection. Nor does Mr. Allison's request to the Court to "balance the equities" provide a legally sufficient reason to excuse his failure to timely respond.
- 4. The appropriate standard to apply to Mr. Allison's request is whether he can demonstrate "excusable neglect" under Bankruptcy Rules 3008 and 9024 (which incorporates Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure). As outlined below, Mr. Allison does not satisfy this standard. Mr. Allison does not state which if any of the grounds for reconsideration under Rule 60(b) apply to the Motion. He failed to provide evidence that the Debtors did not properly serve the Third Omnibus Claims Objection on him and has not pointed

As used in this Objection, "Third Omnibus Claims Objection" means the Debtors' (I) Third Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed.R.Bankr.P. 3007 To Certain (A) Claims With Insufficient Documentation, (B) Claims Unsubstantiated By Debtors' Books And Records, And (C) Claims Subject to Modification And (II) Motion to Estimate Contingent and Unliquidated Claims Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(c) (Docket No. 5452).

to any exceptional circumstances warranting relief under Rule 60(b). Accordingly, Mr. Allison's Motion should be denied.

#### <u>Argument</u>

- A. Mr. Allison's Failure To Timely Respond To The Debtors' Properly Served Notice Precludes Relief Under Rule 60(b)
  - 1. <u>Proper Mailing Constitutes Effective Service</u>
- 5. In the Motion, Mr. Allison complains that the Debtors' notice of the Third Omnibus Claims Objection deprived him of timely notice. His complaint is without merit. As shown by the Gershbein Declaration, the Debtors properly mailed a copy of the Third Omnibus Claims Objection, without exhibits, and the personalized notice of the Third Omnibus Claims Objection with respect to the Claim (the "Personalized Notice") on October 31, 2006, along with a copy of the Motion for Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) and 502(c) and Fed.R.Bankr.P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, and 9014 Establishing (I) Dates for Hearings Regarding Disallowance or Estimation of Claims and (II) Certain Notices and Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance or Estimation of Claims (Docket No. 5453) (the "Claims Objection And Estimation Procedures Motion"). These documents were served by KCC on Carl Allison through his counsel by First Class mail at the address below, which is the address set forth on Proof Of Claim No. 3978:

Carl Allison Megan E Clark Esq Freking & Betz 215 E 9th St Cincinnati, OH 45202

A copy of the Personalized Notice served on Mr. Allison is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Gershbein Declaration. See Gershbein Declaration at ¶ 2. On November 1, 2006, KCC filed with this Court an Affidavit Of Service with respect to KCC's service of the Third Omnibus

Claims Objection, the Personalized Notice, and the Claims Objections And Estimation

Procedures Motion on certain parties-in-interest, including Mr. Allison (Docket No. 5465). See

Gershbein Declaration at ¶ 2.

- 6. The Third Omnibus Claims Objection and the Personalized Notice identified the necessary steps and corresponding deadlines that a claimant must adhere to in filing a response. In fact, Mr. Allison concedes that he received the notice on November 9, 2006 21 days before the November 30, 2006 hearing with respect to the Third Omnibus Claims Objection and 15 days before the November 24, 2006 response deadline set for the in the Personalized Notice. Despite having received the notice, Mr. Allison did not file a response, contact Debtors' counsel before the hearing for an extension, or do anything to contest the relief requested by the Debtors. On December 19, 2006 the Court entered the Order, which disallowed and expunged the Claim.
- 7. The Debtors satisfied the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007 for providing notice of the Third Omnibus Claims Objection. By mailing the notice of the Third Omnibus Claims Objection and the Personalized Notice to Mr. Allison 30 days prior to the hearing on the objection at the address Mr. Allison himself provided on his proof of claim, the Debtors gave Mr. Allison proper, sufficient, and timely notice of their objection to Mr. Allison's proof of claim.
- B. Mr. Allison Is Not Eligible Under Rule 60(b) For Reconsideration Of The Order <u>Disallowing And Expunging His Claim</u>
- 8. By the Motion, Mr. Allison seeks reconsideration of the Order under Bankruptcy Rule 3008. A court will grant a motion seeking reconsideration only upon a showing of cause. See In re JWP Info Serv., Inc., 231 B.R. 209, 211-12 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1999). In this jurisdiction, the standard of excusable neglect under Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of

Civil Procedure (made applicable through Bankruptcy Rule 9024) has been applied in determining whether to grant a claimant a rehearing of an order disallowing a claim. Id..

- 9. Rule 60(b) authorizes a bankruptcy court to reconsider its previous orders in certain limited circumstances and lists six categories of reasons or grounds on which to base a motion seeking relief. Specifically, Rule 60(b) states, in relevant part, that the court may provide relief from judgment for "mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect." Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(1). Mr. Allison does not state which if any of the grounds for reconsideration on which he is relying. The burden of establishing proper grounds for Rule 60(b) relief rests upon the movant. See Paddington Partners v. Bouchard, 34 F.3d 1132, 1142 (2d Cir. 1994). As such, the Motion is procedurally defective and Mr. Allison has failed to meet his burden of demonstrating that one of the grounds applies. "[Because Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)] allows extraordinary judicial relief, it is invoked only if the moving party meets its burden of demonstrating 'exceptional circumstances.'" Id. (citations omitted). Mr. Allison has not met this burden. He failed to provide any evidence to contradict the fact that he timely received the Third Omnibus Claims Objection along with the Personalized Notice but failed to file a timely response and has not pointed to any exceptional circumstances justifying the extraordinary relief he seeks.
- basis of "excusable neglect", Mr. Allison still cannot meet his burden. The Supreme Court has outlined factors to be considered in determining whether there is excusable neglect on the part of the moving party such that reconsideration is warranted. In examining whether a creditor's failure to file a claim by the bar date constituted excusable neglect, the Supreme Court found that the factors include "the danger of prejudice to the debtor, the length of the delay and its potential impact on judicial proceedings, the reason for the delay, including whether it was within the reasonable control of the movant, and whether the movant acted in good faith." <u>Pioneer</u>

Investment Services Company v. Brunswick Associates Limited Partnership, 507 U.S. 380, 395 (1993). The Second Circuit recently applied the factors set forth in <u>Pioneer</u> and noted that "reason for the delay" is the most important factor in the analysis. <u>Midland Cogeneration</u>

Venture Ltd. P'ship v. Enron Corp. (In re Enron Corp.), 419 F.3d 115, 123 (2d Cir. 2005).

11. Here, Mr. Allison did not file a response to the Third Omnibus Objection until doing so simultaneously with the Motion, nearly two months after the response deadline of November 24, 2006. The ability to file a timely response was entirely within Mr. Allison's control. The Third Omnibus Claims Objection made the response requirements clear when, in underlined text, it stated:

If a Claimant whose Claim is subject to this Third Omnibus Claims Objection and who is served with this Third Omnibus Claims Objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors may present to the Court an appropriate order disallowing and expunging such Claim without further notice to the Claimant. Thus, a failure to respond may forever bar Claimants listed on this Third Omnibus Claims Objection from sustaining a Claim against the Debtors.

Third Omnibus Claims Objection, ¶ 50 (emphasis original); see also ¶¶ 46, 47, 48, 49.

- 12. The fact that Mr. Allison may not have realized what was required of him to protect his Claim against the Debtors, even after reading the underlined warning in the Third Omnibus Claims Objection, was a matter within Mr. Allison's own control and does not constitute excusable neglect.
- that it has consistently refused "to relieve a client of the burdens of a final judgment entered against him due to the mistake or omission of his attorney by reason of the latter's ignorance of the law or rules of the court, or his inability to efficiently manage his caseload." <u>Teltronics</u>

  <u>Services, Inc. v. L M Ericsson Telecomm., Inc.</u> 642 F.2d 31, 36 (2d Cir. 1981) (citation omitted).

  Mr. Allison has provided no evidence of extenuating circumstance that might rise to the level of

excusable neglect relating to his failure to file a response to the Third Omnibus Claims Objection.

Therefore, the Motion should be denied.

- C. Granting Mr. Allison's Requested Relief Would Prejudice The Debtors By Undermining The Claims Administration Process
- 14. As noted above, on October 31, 2006, KCC served Mr. Allison with a copy of the Third Omnibus Claims Objection. By receipt of the Third Omnibus Claims
  Objection, as evidenced by the Gershbein Declaration, Mr. Allison had notice of the response deadline with respect to claims objections. Specifically, he had notice of the consequences of a failure to timely respond to the Third Omnibus Claims Objection that the Claims would be disallowed and expunged pursuant to an order of this Court. Granting the relief requested by Mr. Allison would excuse him from his obligation to timely respond to the Third Omnibus Claims
  Objection and would encourage other claimants to seek similar relief, undermining the integrity and finality of the entire claims administration process. The resulting uncertainty would greatly prejudice the Debtors, their estates, and their creditors and undermine the Debtors' efforts to formulate and prosecute a plan of reorganization. Accordingly, Mr. Allison's request should be denied.

#### Conclusion

15. Mr. Allison seeks an opportunity to file a response and revive his Claim without having satisfied any of the grounds for reconsideration of the Order pursuant to which his claim was disallowed and expunged. Relief under Rule 60(b) and Bankruptcy Rule 3008 is not available to Mr. Allison. Accordingly, the Motion should be denied.

#### Memorandum Of Law

16. Because the legal points and authorities upon which this Objection relies are incorporated herein, the Debtors respectfully request that the requirement of the service and

filing of a separate memorandum of law under Local Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York be deemed satisfied.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter an order (a) denying the Motion and (b) granting them such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York February 7, 2007

# SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

By: \_/s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr John Wm. Butler, Jr. (JB 4711) John K. Lyons (JL 4951) Ron E. Meisler (RM 3026) 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 407-0700

- and –

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti (KM 9632)
Thomas J. Matz (TM 5986)
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036
(212) 735-3000

Attorneys for Delphi Corporation, et al., Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

### **EXHIBIT A**

# IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- x

In re : Chapter 11

DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

----- x

# DECLARATION OF NOTICING AGENT REGARDING SERVICE OF THIRD OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION ON CARL ALLISON

#### I, Evan Gershbein, state as follows:

- 1. I am over eighteen years of age and not a party to the above-captioned cases. I believe the statements contained herein are true based on my personal knowledge. I am a Consultant of Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC ("KCC") and my business address is 12910 Culver Blvd., Suite I, Los Angeles, CA 90066. KCC was retained as the noticing agent pursuant to the Final Order Under 28 U.S.C. § 156(c) Authorizing Retention and Appointment of Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC as Claims, Noticing, and Balloting Agent for Clerk of Bankruptcy Court, entered by the Court on December 1, 2005 (Docket No. 1374). This declaration is based upon my personal knowledge, except as to such matters as are stated upon information and belief.
- 2. On October 31, 2006, KCC served copies of the Debtors' (I) Third Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) and Fed.R.Bankr.P. 3007 to Certain (A) Claims with Insufficient Documentation, (B) Claims Unsubstantiated by Debtors' Books and Records, and (C) Claims Subject to Modification and (II) Motion to Estimate Contingent and Unliquidated Claims Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(c) ("Third

Omnibus Claims Objection") (Docket No. 5452), without exhibits; the personalized Notice of Objection to Claim pertaining to proof of claim no. 2205; and Motion for Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) and 502(c) and Fed.R.Bankr.P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, and 9014 Establishing (I) Dates for Hearings Regarding Disallowance or Estimation of Claims and (II) Certain Notices and Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance or Estimation of Claims ("Claims Objection and Estimation Procedures Motion") (Docket No. 5453) on Carl Allison ("Allison") via First Class mail at the address below:

Carl Allison Megan E Clark Esq Freking & Betz 215 E 9th St Cincinnati, OH 45202

Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a copy of the personalized Notice of Objection to Claim served on Allison. On November 1, 2006, KCC filed with this Court an Affidavit Of Service with respect to KCC's service of the Third Omnibus Claims Objection, the Personalized Notice, and the Claims Objections And Estimation Procedures Motion on certain parties-in-interest, including Allison (Docket No. 5465).

- 3. The contact information used to serve the aforementioned documents on Allison is derived from Allison's proof of claim (No. 2205). The first page of proof of claim No. 2205 (i.e. B10 form) is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 4. On December 8, 2006, KCC served the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, and 9014 Establishing (I) Dates for Hearings Regarding Objections to Claims and (II) Certain Notices and Procedures Governing Objections to Claims ("Claim Objection Procedures Order") (Docket No. 6089) on Allison via First Class mail at the address below:

Carl Allison Megan E Clark Esq Freking & Betz 215 E 9th St Cincinnati, OH 45202

5. On January 4, 2007, KCC served the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 (I) Disallowing and Expunging Certain (A) Claims with Insufficient Documentation and (B) Claims Unsubstantiated by Debtors' Books and Records, (II) Modifying Certain Claims, and (III) Adjourning Hearing on Certain Contingent and Unliquidated Claims Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 502(c) Identified in Third Omnibus Claims Objection ("Third Omnibus Claims Objection Order") (Docket No. 6224), without exhibits, and the personalized Notice of Entry of Order pertaining to Claim No. 2205 on Allison via First Class mail at the address below:

Carl Allison Megan E Clark Esq Freking & Betz 215 E 9th St Cincinnati, OH 45202

- 6. After a thorough review, it is my belief that KCC has never received a request to change the contact information, especially address, associated with proof of claim no. 2205.
- 7. To the best of my knowledge, information and belief, I hereby declare and state that the foregoing information is true and correct.

Executed on February 7, 2007, at Los Angeles, California.

/s/ Evan Gershbein
Evan Gershbein

### EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY C	COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YO	ORK	
	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	•
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
· ——	:	` ,
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	

### NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO CLAIM

Carl Allison:

Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the abow-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), are sending you this notice. According to the Debtors' records, you filed one or more proofs of claim in the Debtors' reorganization cases. Based upon the Debtors' review of your proof or proofs of claim, the Debtors have determined that one or more of your claims identified in the table below should be disallowed and expunged or modified as summarized in that table and described in more detail in the Debtors' Third Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (the "Third Omnibus Objection"), a copy of which is enclosed (without exhibits). The Debtors' Third Omnibus Objection is set for hearing on November 30, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time) before the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, Room 610, New York, New York 10004. AS FURTHER DESCRIBED IN THE ENCLOSED THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION AND BELOW, THE DEADLINE FOR YOU TO RESPOND TO THE DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO YOUR CLAIM(S) IS 4:00 P.M. (PREVAILING EASTERN TIME) ON NOVEMBER 24, 2006. IF YOU DO NOT RESPOND TIMELY IN THE MANNER DESCRIBED BELOW, THE ORDER GRANTING THE RELIEF REQUESTED MAY BE ENTERED WITHOUT ANY FURTHER NOTICE TO YOU.

The enclosed Third Omnibus Objection identifies several different categories of objections. The category of claim objection applicable to you is identified in the table below in the column entitled "Basis For Objection":

Claims identified as having a Basis For Objection of "Insufficient Documentation" are those Claims that did not contain sufficient documentation in support of the Claim asserted, making it impossible for the Debtors to meaningfully review the asserted Claim.

Claims identified as having a Basis For Objection of "Untimely Insufficient Documentation" are those Claims that did not contain sufficient documentation in support of the Claim asserted making it impossible for the Debtors to meaningfully review the asserted Claim and also were not timely filed pursuant to the Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 107(b), 501, 502, And 1111(a) And Fed R. Bankr. P. 1009, 2002(a)(7), 3003(c)(3), And 5005(a) Establishing Bar Dates For Filing Proofs Of Claim And Approving Form And

Manner Of Notice Thereof, dated April 12, 2006 (Docket No. 3206) (the "Bar Date Order").

Claims identified as having a Basis For Objection of "Unsubstantiated Claim" are those Claims that assert liabilities or dollar amounts that the Debtors have determined are not owing pursuant to the Debtors' books and records.

Claims identified as having a Basis For Objection of "Untimely Unsubstantiated Claim" are those Claims that assert liabilities or dollar amounts that the Debtors have determined are not owing pursuant to the Debtors' books and records and were also not timely filed pursuant to the Bar Date Order.

Claims identified as having a Basis For Objection of 'Claims Subject to Modification' are those Claims that were overstated or were denominated in foreign currencies and which the Debtors seek to modify to a fully liquidated, U.S.-denominated amount in line with the Debtors' books and records and/or the liquidated amounts requested by the Claimants, as appropriate, and to appropriately classify the total amount of such remaining Claims as general unsecured claims.

Date Filed	Claim Numbe r	Asserted Claim Amount <sup>1</sup>	Basis For Objection	Treatment Of Claim
3/7/2006	2205	\$300,000.00	Unsubstantiated Claim	Disallow and Expunge

If you wish to view the complete exhibits to the Third Omnibus Objection, you can do so on <a href="https://www.delphidocket.com">www.delphidocket.com</a>. If you have any questions about this notice or the Third Omnibus Objection to your claim, please contact Debtors' counsel by e-mail at <a href="https://delphi@skadden.com">delphi@skadden.com</a>, by telephone at 1-800-718-5305, or in writing to Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Randall G. Reese). Questions regarding the amount of a Claim or the filing of a Claim should be directed to Claims Agent at 1-888-259-2691 or <a href="www.delphidocket.com">www.delphidocket.com</a>. CLAIMANTS SHOULD NOT CONTACT THE CLERK OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT TO DISCUSS THE MERITS OF THEIR CLAIMS.

If you disagree with this Third Omnibus Objection, you must file a response and serve it so that it is actually received by no later than 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time) on November 24, 2006. Your response, if any, to the Third Omnibus Claims Objection must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Amended Eighth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered by this Court on October 26, 2006 (the "Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order") (Docket No. 5418), (c) be filed with the Bankruptcy Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk

<sup>1</sup> Asserted Claim Amounts listed as \$0.00 generally reflect that the claim amount asserted is unliquidated or is denominated in a foreign currency.

2

(preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, and (e) be served upon (i) Delphi Corporation, 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: General Counsel), (ii) counsel to the Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr.), (iii) counsel to the agent under the Debtors' prepetition credit facility, Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, 425 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10017 (Att'n: Kenneth S. Ziman), (iv) counsel to the agent under the postpetition credit facility, Davis Polk & Wardwell, 450 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10017 (Att'n: Donald Bernstein and Brian Resnick), (v) counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, Latham & Watkins LLP, 885 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (Att'n: Robert J. Rosenberg and Mark A. Broude), (vi) counsel to the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders, Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP, One New York Plaza, New York, New York 10004 (Att'n: Bonnie Steingart), and (vii) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, 33 Whitehall Street, Suite 2100, New York, New York New York 10004 (Att'n: Alicia M. Leonhard).

Your response, if any, must also contain at a minimum the following: (i) a caption setting forth the name of the Bankruptcy Court, the names of the Debtors, the case number, and the title of the Third Omnibus Objection to which the response is directed; (ii) the name of the claimant and description of the basis for the amount of the claim; (iii) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the claim should not be disallowed or modified for the reasons set forth in the Third Omnibus Objection, including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases upon which you will rely in opposing the Third Omnibus Objection; (iv) all documentation or other evidence of the claim upon which you will rely in opposing the Third Omnibus Objection to the extent not included with the proof of claim previously filed with the Bankruptcy Court; (v) to the extent that the Claim is fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that you believe would be the allowable amount of such Claim upon liquidation of the Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; (vi) the address(es) to which the Debtors must deliver any reply to your response, if different from that presented in the proof of claim; and (vii) the name, address, and telephone number of the person (which may be you or your legal representative) possessing ultimate authority to reconcile, settle, or otherwise resolve the claim on your behalf.

If you properly and timely file and serve a Response in accordance with the above procedures, and the Debtors are unable to reach a consensual resolution with you, the Debtors have requested that the Court conduct a status hearing on November 30, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. regarding the Third Omnibus Claims Objection and any Response and set further hearings pursuant to the Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b) And 502(c) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Hearings Regarding Disallowance Or Estimation Of Claims (the "Claims Objection and Estimation Procedures Motion") being filed contemporaneously with the Third Omnibus Objection. With respect to all uncontested objections, the Debtors have requested that this Court conduct a final hearing on November 30, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard. The procedures set forth in the Claims Objection and Estimation Procedures Motion will apply to all Responses and hearings arising from this Third Omnibus Claims Objection.

TO THE EXTENT ANY PROOF OF CLAIM LISTED ABOVE ASSERTS CONTINGENT OR UNLIQUIDATED CLAIMS, IF YOU FILE A RESPONSE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ABOVE

PROCEDURES, PURSUANT TO THE CLAIMS OBJECTION AND ESTIMATION PROCEDURES MOTION THE DEBTORS HAVE REQUESTED THE AUTHORITY TO ELECT, IN THEIR SOLE DISCRETION, TO PROVISIONALLY ACCEPT THE AMOUNT THAT YOU HAVE ASSERTED WOULD BE THE ALLOWABLE AMOUNT OF SUCH PROOF OF CLAIM UPON LIQUIDATION OF THE CLAIM OR OCCURRENCE OF THE CONTINGENCY, AS APPROPRIATE, AS THE ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF SUCH CLAIM PURSUANT TO SECTION 502(c) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE FOR ALL PURPOSES. YOUR PROOF OF CLAIM WOULD REMAIN SUBJECT TO FURTHER OBJECTION AND REDUCTION AS APPROPRIATE. THE DEBTORS' ELECTION WOULD BE MADE BY SERVING YOU WITH A NOTICE IN THE FORM ATTACHED TO THE CLAIMS OBJECTION AND ESTIMATION PROCEDURES MOTION.

The Bankruptcy Court will consider only those responses made as set forth herein and in accordance with the Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order. If no responses to the Third Omnibus Objection are timely filed and served in accordance with the procedures set forth herein and in the Amended Eighth Supplemental Case Management Order, the Bankruptcy Court may enter an order sustaining the Third Omnibus Objection without further notice. Thus, your failure to respond may forever bar you from sustaining a Claim against the Debtors.

CARL ALLISON
MEGAN E CLARK ESQ
FREKING & BETZ
215 E 9TH ST
CINCINNATI OH 45202

### EXHIBIT 2

Inited States Bankruptcy Court Southern Dis	strict Of New York	PROOF OF CLAIM
lame of Debtor	Case Number	This Space For Court Use Only
Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems	05-44481 (RDD0	Claim #02205
NOTE: This form should not be used to make a claim for an administrative expensure case. A "request" for payment of an administrative expense may be filed pursuate.	se arising after the commencement of	USBC SDNY Delphi Corporation, et al. 05-44481 (RDD)
Name of Creditor (The person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money or		
roperty):	that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to your	
Carl Allison	claim, Attach copy of	
Name and Address where notices should be sent:	statement giving particulars.	AND THE PARTY OF T
	Check box if you have never	RECEIVED
Megan E. Clark, Esq.	received any notices from the	MEGETALIA
Freking & Betz	bankruptcy court in this case.	MAR 08 2006
215 E. 9th Street	Check box if the address	11AIX 0 2000
Cincinnati, OH 45202	differs from the address on the envelope sent to you by the	KURTZMAN CARSON
Telephone Number: 513-721-1975	court.	This Space For Court Use Only
	1	This opace for court esse only
ast four digits of account or other number by which creditor identifies lebtor:	Check here Treplaces	to the factor of the de-
	if this claim amends a prev	viously filed claim dated:
1. Basis for Claim		11 C C 8 1114(a)
	L) Retiree benefits as defined in 11	
The tree personal and	Wages, salaries, and compensation	
Money loaned	Last four digits of your SS #: Unpaid compensation for service	
☐ Personal injury/wrongful death	fromto	
☐ Taxes Xi Other Age Discrimination Litigation		(date)
2. Date debt was incurred:	3. If court judgment, date obtain	
	* "	i
No later than 12/31/03  4. Classification of Claim. Check the appropriate box or boxes that best	Still in litiga	
See reverse side for important explanations.  Unsecured Nonpriority Claim SOVET \$300,000  Check this box if: a) there is no collateral or lien securing your claim, or b) your claim exceeds the value of the property securing it, or if c) none or only part of your claim is entitled to priority.  Unsecured Priority Claim.  Check this box if you have an unsecured claim, all or part of which is entitled to priority  Amount entitled to priority \$	setoff).  Brief Description of Collateral:      Real Estate   [.] Motor   Value of Collateral \$	s secured by collateral (including a right of r Vehicle
Specify the priority of the claim:    Domestic support obligations under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or	[] Up to \$2,225* of deposits toward p for personal, family, or household u	ourchase, lease, or rental of property or services use - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7).
(a)(1)(B).	Taxes or penalties owed to govern	mental units - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8).
[ ] Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$10,000),* earned within 180 days before filing of the bankruptcy petition or cessation of the debtor's	Other - Specify applicable paragraph	
business, whichever is earlier - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).	* Amounts are subject to adjustment on 4:1	1/07 and every 3 years thereafter
11 Contributions to an employee benefit plan - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5).	with respect to cases commenced on or	after the date of adjustment.
5. Total Amount of Claim at Time Case Filed: \$ OVET	\$300,000	over \$300,000
(Unsecured)	(Secured)	(Priority) (Total)
Macheck this box if claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the pri	incipal amount of the claim. Attach itemiz	zed statement of all interest or additional charges.
<ol> <li>Credits: The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited and ded.</li> <li>Supporting Documents: Attach copies of supporting documents, such as prostatements of running accounts, contracts, court judgments, mortgages, securi DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. If the documents are not availa attach a summary.</li> <li>Date-Stamped Copy: To receive an acknowledgment of the filing of your claim.</li> </ol>	flucted for the purpose of making this proof making this proof making this proof making this proof making the purposes, ity agreements, and evidence of perfection labe, explain. If the documents are voluminal, enclose a stamped, self-addressed en	f of claim. itemized n of lien. nous, velope
Date: Sign and print the name and title, if any, of the creditor	or other person authorized to file this claim (	(attach copy CLAIMS TO COME DEFE
2 2 of power of attorney, if any): Magain & Penalty for presenting fraudulent claim: Fine up to \$500,000 or i	· Clark	USEC, EDIVI 1